

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,746	01/02/2004	Jui-Hung Hsu	250809-1040	1852	
24504 7	7590 10/11/2005		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW			A, MI	A, MINH D	
STE 1750	A PARKWAY, NW		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA, C	GA 30339-5948		2821		
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/750,746	HSU, JUI-HUNG	My				
Examiner	Art Unit					
Minh D. A	2821	_				
pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence addre	ss				
36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this common NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
<u>ıne 2005</u> .						
action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
wn from consideration.						
r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
• , ,	` ,					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	• •				
s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Sta	ge				
Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date	2)				
	Ince except for formal matters, parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, e application. In election requirement. In election requirem	Examiner Minh D. A Pears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any ane 2005. action is non-final. Indee except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mean factor of the consideration. In application. In a parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. In a parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. In a parte Quayle if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). It is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). It is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). It is a parte of the attached Office Action or form PTO-faminer. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). Is have been received. Is have been received in Application No				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

1. Claims 1, 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jo et al (US 6,842,158).

Regarding claim 1, Jo discloses frequency with a first operational frequency and a second operational frequency for a portable electronic device, the multi-frequency antenna comprising: an antenna body (10) including a feed-in terminal(22), a ground terminal(30), a first radiation arm, and a second radiation arm, wherein the first and second radiation arms are arranged in symmetrically inward spiral form, share the feed-in terminal, and form a first current path and a second current path which realize the first and second operational frequencies respectively; and ground plane, coupled to the

ground terminal and disposed with respect to the antenna body. See figures 1 and 6, col4, lines 55-67 to col6, lines 1-47.

Regarding claims 5 and 17, Jo discloses the portable electronic device comprising: a multi-frequency antenna, comprising: an antenna body including a feed-in terminal, a ground terminal, a first radiation arm, and a second radiation arm, wherein the first and second radiation arms are arranged in symmetrically inward spiral form, share the feed-in terminal, and form a first current path and a second current path which realize the first and second operational frequencies respectively; and a ground plane, coupled to the ground terminal and disposed with respect to the antenna body; and a patch antenna, separately disposed in a side of the multi-frequency antenna, having a third current path to realize the third operational frequency. See figures 1 and 6, col4, lines 55-67 to col6, lines 1-47.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 2-4, 6-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach that, the ground plane has a hollowed section which is beneath the endfire direction of the antenna recited in dependent claims 2 and 6.

The prior art does not teach that, the first operational frequency belongs to GSM bandwidth, and the second operational frequency belongs to DCS bandwidth and

Art Unit: 2821

wherein the antenna body and the patch antenna are disposed at a distance of about 1 to 7 mm recited in dependent claims 4, 8 and 11.

The prior art does not teach that, patch antenna, separately disposed in a side of the multi-frequency antenna, having a third current path to realize the tlàird operational frequency, wherein the third current path sets the third operational frequency meeting the requirement of Bluetooth communication recited in independent claims 14 and 16.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chung (US 6,353,420) and Mandai et al (US 6,064,351) are recited to show a spiral shaped antenna.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Minh A whose telephone number is (571) 2721817. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30 -2:30 PM). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong, can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1553.

Examine: Minh A

Art unit 2821

8/18/05

WILSON LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5